## PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION APRIL 13, 2011 – JUNE 29, 2011 INSERVICE EVALUATION SUMMARY

	5 = Excellent	4 = Very Good	3 = Good	2 = Fair	1 = Poor
1.	. The extent to which the written objectives have been met.				4.00
2.	Participant perception of relevance and quality of the inservice.				3.50
3.	The extent to which the following activities addressed by the inservice have been met:				
	a. Opportunities for participants to collect and analyze evidence related to student learning.				3.75
	b. Professional certificate standards.				4.17
	c. School and district improvement efforts.				3.67
	d. K-12 frameworks and curriculum alignment.				4.33
	e. Research-based instructional strategies and assessment practices.				3.60
	f. Content of current or anticipated assignment.				3.60
	g. Advocacy	for students and leadership, su	pervision, mentoring/coac	hing.	3.60
	h. Building a	collaborative learning commu	nity.		4.50
4.	The quality of the physical facilities.				N/A
5.	The quality of the oral presentations.				3.83
6.	The quality of the written program materials.				4.60

## Suggestions for improving the inservice:

- Better done as an in-person inservice instead of an online class for me.
- I feel like I needed a little more direction on how one would actually teach this class to teenagers.
- Summer Conference--more lesson planning and classroom examples. Great start for Project Management industry certification.
- This was my first online class. I learned that it isn't for me. I had a hard time giving it my full attention and focusing.